

MONITORING INSTRUMENT FOR EXTERNAL INTEGRATED SUMMATIVE ASSESSMENT (EISA)

| Date for Monitoring | | Time of Arrival | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Qualification | | Time of Departure | | | |
| Province | | | | | |
| Name of Assessmer | nt Centre | | | | |
| QCTO Registration I | Number | | | | |
| Street Address | | | | | |
| Suburb / Township | | | | | |
| Town / City | | Postal Code | | | |
| Assessment Centre Manager | | | | | |
| First Name | | Surname | | | |
| Tel Number | | Fax Number | | | |



Invigilators Details

| First Name | Sı | irname | First Name | Surname |
|--------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Occupational | Qualification | NQF Level | Name of Qualification | n Number of Candidates |
| Occupational | Qualifoution | 1141 20101 | ramo or quamouno | Trainibol of Gallaracco |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | <u> </u> | |
| HWSETA Of | ficial's Deta | <u>iils</u> | | |
| HWSETA Of | ficial's Deta | <u>iils</u> | | |
| HWSETA Of | ficial's Deta | nils | Surname | |
| | ficial's Deta | nils | Surname | |



| | Key Monitoring Area (KMA) | | | | |
|--|--|---|---------------------|--|--|
| 1 | 1.DELIVERY AND ST MATERIAL BEF | | COMMENTS / FINDINGS | | |
| 1.1 | How is the examinato the assessment collected by the Chdelivered? | , | | | |
| 1.2 | | papers arrive at the ? Is it daily or weekly? way | | | |
| 1.3 | Were all question parrival at the asses | | | | |
| 1.4 | | hecked and signed for al? Did you see the ord as evidence? | | | |
| 1.5 | Where were the quebefore writing? We strong room or lock | estion papers stored re they stored in a safe, cable cabinet? Who had ere is the key kept when | | | |
| 1.6 | storage of question assessment centre room, security guar alarm, surveillance | . (Burglar bars, strong rds, access control, | | | |
| Rating scale on criteria 1: Mark with a cross in the appropriate box | | | | | |
| Met all 6 factors Met most factors Met very few factor | | Met very few factors (3 and below) related to the criteria | | | |



| | | Key Monitor | ing Area (KMA) |
|------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| 2 | 2.THE INVIGILATORS AND THEIR TRAINING | | COMMENTS / FINDINGS |
| 2.1 | Who was appointed | I as the Invigilators? | |
| 2.2 | Was the appointme | nt confirmed in writing? | |
| 2.3 | | e invigilators? Chief Invigilator trained? vailable to prove that | |
| 2.4 | | ave appointment letters? v are signed and have | |
| Rati | ng scale on crit | eria 2: Mark with a cı | ross in the appropriate box |
| Met all 4 factors Met most factors | | (between 3-4) related | Met very few factors (2 and below) related to the criteria |

| | KEY MONITORING AREA (KMA) | | | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 3. | PREPARATIONS FOR WRITING AND THE ASSESSMENT ROOM/VENUE | COMMENTS / FINDINGS | | | | |
| 3.1 | Are there directions that indicate the location of the assessment room(s)? Explain their positions and if they are visible/ helpful. | | | | | |
| 3.2 | Are there signs on the door/ outside wall that indicates that this is an assessment venue? | | | | | |
| 3.3 | How many minutes before writing did invigilators arrive at the exam room? (the ideal time would be at least 30 minutes before writing) | | | | | |
| 3.4 | Is the environment inside and outside the exar Elaborate if the answer is 'No'. Refer to the following the state of the st | nination room conducive for the writing of the assessment? lowing: | | | | |
| | Ventilation | | | | | |
| | Noise (inside and outside) | | | | | |
| | Light & Temperature | | | | | |
| | Cleanliness (inside and outside) | | | | | |



| | KEY MONITORING AREA (KMA) | | | | |
|------|---|--|--|--|--|
| 3. | PREPARATIONS FOR WRITING AND THE ASSESSMENT ROOM/VENUE | COMMENTS / FINDINGS | | | |
| 3.5 | Are there any material in the examination room/s that could assist candidates, e.g. posters? | | | | |
| 3.6 | Are there enough chairs and tables for all candidates? | | | | |
| 3.7 | Is a seating plan available? Is it relevant for the Occupational Qualification written on the day? If not available, give reasons for its absence | | | | |
| 3.8 | Were candidates seated according to the seating plan available? | | | | |
| 3.9 | Is there a copy of the seating plan pasted on the outside of the assessment room? | | | | |
| 3.12 | What information is displayed on the board? (date, centre number, subject/ Learning Area, subject/ occupational qualification, province, start-finish time) | | | | |
| 3.10 | Is there a clock or other means of displaying time to candidates? Is it clearly visible for all candidates? | | | | |
| 3.11 | Is there an attendance register for the invigilators? Did the invigilators sign the attendance register for today's session? | | | | |
| 3.12 | Do all invigilators have name tags? | | | | |
| 3.13 | Are there any relief invigilators available on the day? If so, how many? | | | | |
| 3.14 | Does the assessment venue have the necessary | ary equipment for the subject being written? | | | |
| 3.15 | Is there an assessment file in the assessment venue? | and the state of t | | | |
| 3.16 | Does the assessment file contain the following documents? | | | | |
| | Invigilators' manual/guideline | | | | |
| | Appointment letters of all invigilators | | | | |



| | KEY MONITOR | RING AREA (KMA) |
|-------|--|---------------------|
| 3. F | PREPARATIONS FOR WRITING AND THE ASSESSMENT ROOM/VENUE | COMMENTS / FINDINGS |
| | The examination time table | |
| | The invigilation time table | |
| | The relief time table | |
| | Attendance registers for invigilators | |
| | Attendance records for HWSETA monitors | |
| | Dispatch forms (to be provided by courier) | |
| | Irregularity form (Annexure A) | |
| | The seating plan | |
| | Absenteeism forms | |
| 3. 17 | Did any candidate arrive late (after writing has started?). Give reasons if possible. | |
| 3. 18 | Did all candidates have proper identification documents with them? | |
| 3. 19 | Were ID documents and examination permits verified before candidates entered the assessment venue? | |
| 3. 20 | Were question papers opened in front of the candidates? Who opened them? | |
| 3. 21 | Were there candidates who had special concessions on the day? Explain the concessions in any | |
| 3. 22 | Were there candidates who were not registered to write the examination? If so, how were they dealt with? | |
| 3. 23 | Were calculators and other programmable devices checked before writing? | |
| 3. 24 | What procedure was followed with regard to cell phones? | |
| 3. 25 | What is the invigilator to candidate ratio?(policy recommends 1:30) | |



| Rating scale on criteria 3: Mark with a cross in the appropriate box | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Met the majority of the factors (between 26-27) related to the criteria | Met most factors (between 25-22) related to the criteria | Met very few factors (14 and below) related to the criteria | | | |

| | KEY MONITORING AREA (KMA) | | | | | | |
|--|---|----------|------------------------|--|--------|--------------------|--|
| | TIME MANAGE TIVITIES DURI | | OR CRUCIAL EXAMINATION | | CC | DMMENTS / FINDINGS | |
| 4.1 | At what time were the candidates admitted into the assessment venue/ room/ s? | | | | | | |
| 4.2 | How many minutes before the start of writing did the question papers arrive at the exam room/ s? | | | | | | |
| 4.3 | At what time distributed? | were the | Question papers | | | | |
| 4.4 | Were the examination rules read out to candidates? At what time were they read out to candidates? | | | | | | |
| 4.5 | Were the question papers checked with candidates for technical accuracy in terms of subject, level, paper, the number of pages, blank pages, diagrams, etc.? | | | | | | |
| 4.6 | | | | | | | |
| 4.7 How many minutes of reading time were the candidates given? | | | | | | | |
| Rating | scale on c | riteria | 4: Mark with a | cross in | the ap | propriate box | |
| Met all 7 factors related to the criteria Met most factors (5-6) related to the | | (between | - | Met very few factors (4 and below) related to the criteria | | | |

| | KEY MONITORING AREA (KMA) | | | | | |
|-------|---|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 5. CHECKING OF THE IMMEDIATE ENVIRONMENT | COMMENTS / FINDINGS | | | | |
| 5.1 | Did invigilators check both the males and female's toilets for any material that could be used by candidates? | | | | | |
| Rati | Rating scale on criteria 5: Mark with a cross in the appropriate box | | | | | |
| Met t | he criteria | Did not meet the criteria | | | | |



| | KEY MONITORING AREA (KMA) | | | | | |
|---------|--|--|------------|---------|--|--|
| 6. ACT | TIVITIES DURING WRIT | | COMMENT | | | |
| 6.1 | Did invigilators ensu complete the cove examination question name is required examination and cent | re that candidates er page of the papers where their d, e.g. correct tre number, subject of occupational | | | | |
| 6.2 | Was the assessment scheduled time? If not this was not the case. | t, give reasons why | | | | |
| 6.3 | Were the invigilators a and mobile? | attentive, vigilant | | | | |
| 6.4 | Were invigilators at ar by candidates to clarif or questions in the que explain how the invigil | y certain aspects estion paper? If so, | | | | |
| 6.5 | Did candidates compleregister? When was it | ete the attendance | | | | |
| 6.6 | Were there any candid assessment venue/ ro toilets? Were they acc invigilator of the same | ooms? to use the companied by an | | | | |
| 6.7 | Was there any erratur how was it dealt with? | n on the day? If so, | | | | |
| 6.8 | Were candidates allow examination room in to of writing? | | | | | |
| 6.9 | Was a five minutes wa end of the assessmen candidates? | | | | | |
| 6.10. | 6.10. How were scripts collected from candidates who had completed writing? | | | | | |
| 6.11. | | | | | | |
| 6.12. | | | | | | |
| Ratin | ng scale on criteri | a 6: Mark with a | cross in | the api | propriate box | |
| Met all | l 12 factors d to the | Met most factors 6-11) related to t | s (between | • | Met very few factors (5 and below) related to the criteria | |



| | KEY MONITORING AREA (KMA) | | | | | |
|------------------|---|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 7 | 7. PACKAGING A | AND TRANSMISSION OF SCRIPTS AFTE WRITING | R COMMENTS / FINDINGS | | | |
| 7.1 | Which room was scripts? | s used to count and pack the candidates' a | answer | | | |
| 7.2 | Who was in the counted and page | room when the candidates' answer scripts cked? | were | | | |
| 7.3 | Were the scripts sheet? | s packaged using the sequence in the mark | K | | | |
| 7.4 | | of scripts correspond with the candidates NT 'on the mark sheet and those written o | on the | | | |
| 7.5 | | was used to seal the scripts for transportat Who sealed the container to carry question | | | | |
| 7.6 | | sary dispatch forms completed before the were packed and submitted? Mention the | se | | | |
| 7.7 | Did the centre method the assessment | nake copies of the dispatch forms submitte body? | d to | | | |
| 7.8 | , | | | | | |
| 7.9 | 7.9 At what time did the scripts leave the assessment Centre for the HWSETA assessment? | | | | | |
| 7.10 | 7.10 Who transported the scripts to the HWSETA? | | | | | |
| Rati | ng scale on c | criteria 7: Mark with a cross in t | he appropriate box | | | |
| | II 10 factors | Met most factors (between | Met very few factors (4 and | | | |
| relate criter | ed to the ia | 5-9) related to the criteria | below) related to the criteria | | | |

| | KEY MONITORING AREA (KMA) | | | | |
|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 8. MC | 8. MONITORING BY THE HWSETA COMMENTS / FINDINGS | | | | |
| 8.1 | Is there evidence to show the HWSETA verified the readiness of the assessment centre for EISA? If so, indicate the last time/date the HWSETA head office/Province monitored the assessment centre. | | | | |
| 8.2 | Did the monitor/ s from the HWSETA leave a report detailing the nature of the visit? | | | | |
| 8.4 | What are the key issues noted in the report? Have the issues noted in the report being addressed by the Provincial Manager? | | | | |



| Rating scale on criteria 8: Mark with a cross in the appropriate box | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| The assessment body did monitor and leave a report | The assessment body did not monitor at all | | | |

| KEY MONITORING AREA (KMA) | | | | |
|---------------------------|--|---------------------|--|--|
| | 9. FEEDBACK MEETING | COMMENTS / FINDINGS | | |
| 9.1 | Did you have a feedback meeting with | | | |
| | Provincial Managers after monitoring? | | | |
| 9.2 | Who did you give feedback to? Was it the | | | |
| | Provincial Managers only, or was it the entire | | | |
| | invigilating team? Explain the reason why | | | |
| | you chose them. | | | |
| 9.3 | What did you discuss at the feedback | | | |
| | meeting? | | | |
| 0.4 | | | | |
| 9.4 | How long did the feedback meeting last? | | | |
| 9.5 | How was your feedback taken? Did they | | | |
| | welcome and take the feedback positively? | | | |
| 10. A | REAS OF GOOD PRACTICE | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 11. AREAS OF CONCERN | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | " 1 (10 | | | |

Consolidated Summary of the Ratings

| No. | CRITERIA | RATING (met all, most or few) |
|-----|---|-------------------------------|
| 1. | Delivery and storage of exam material before | |
| | writing | |
| 2. | The invigilators and their training | |
| 3. | Preparations for writing and the assessment venue | |
| 4. | Time Management | |
| 5. | Checking of immediate environment | |
| 6. | Writing process | |
| 7. | Packaging and transmission of Question papers to | |
| | the HWSETA after writing | |
| 8. | Monitoring by the HWSETA Head office & | |
| | Provincial offices | |

| Name of monitor: | Signature: |
|------------------|------------|
|------------------|------------|



| Date: | | | |
|-------|--|--|--|
| Date. | | | |